ISSN: 2356-7864 doi: 10.21608/SJFOP.2024.255411.1026

APPLICATION OF NATURAL GROWTH PROMOTERS TO IMPROVE THE GROWTH AND FLOWERING OF GOMPHRENA GLOBOSA L. PLANT

Asmaa E. Abd Elhafez*, Samah M. El-Sayed** and A.S. Mohamed*

* Botanical Gardens Research Dept., Horticulture Research Institute,

Agricultural Research Center, Egypt

** Ornamental Plants and Woody Trees Dept., National Research Centre, Dokki, Egypt



Scientific J. Flowers & Ornamental Plants, 10(4):233-243 (2023).

Received: 7/12/2023 **Accepted:** 23/12/2023

Corresponding author: A.S. Mohamed amr_amz_9@yahoo.com **ABSTRACT:** Our study aimed to test the promoting effect of chitosan at rates 100, 300 and 500 mg l⁻¹ and humic acid at rates 100, 500 and 700 mg l⁻¹ as a foliar application on *Gomphrena globosa* L. plus control plants sprayed with distilled water during 2022 and 2023 seasons. The obtained results cleared that the plants that received foliar application of chitosan at 300 mg l⁻¹ showed the highest plant height value, Number of leaves, number of branches per, root length, shoot and root (fresh and dry weights). Moreover, the application of humic acid at a rate of 700 mg l⁻¹ raised all the flowering traits including number of inflorescences, inflorescence diameter, inflorescence length, and inflorescences (fresh and dry weights). Furthermore, it was found that the most of chemical compositions including photosynthetic pigments, total sugars, flavonoids content in leaves, and betalain in inflorescences were increased in plants treated with chitosan 300 mg l⁻¹ except total phenols content in leaves which showed the highest values in plants sprayed with humic acid at 700 mg 1⁻¹.

Keywords: *Gomphrena globosa*, humic acid, chitosan, vegetative growth, flowering parameters, chemical compositions

INTRODUCTION

Globe amaranth (*Gomphrena globosa* L.) is a flowering ornamental plant belonging to the family Amaranthaceae. It is an annual plant that may reach 60 cm in height. It has colorful cloverlike spikes which may be white, red, purple, carmine, and different shades of pink round, and papery, the spikes lengths are about 3-4 cm. The narrow green leaves are opposite and oblong, 10–15 cm in length, and woolly-white when young, becoming sparsely white-hairy as they grow up (Yaseen and Khan, 2022). Gomphrena is used in ornamental beds, borders and edges in the gardens, pot plants, hanging baskets, and also as a potential summer cut flower (Cocozza-Talia, 1993).

In addition, the flowers of Gomphrena globosa are rich sources of the pigment

betacyanins which can be used in the food industry and cosmetics. Betalain pigments that have a red-violet color are ideal as natural food dyes. The betacyanins, are identified as gomphrenin and isogomphrenin (Roriz *et al.*, 2017).

Mohamed et al. (2022), Elsayed et al. (2022) and Mohamed et al. (2023) cleared that natural growth promoters are considered clean, safe and environmentally friendly sources that it easy to obtain due to their availability in the surrounding environment, and many studies have proven that these natural materials can trigger plant growth. Farouk et al. (2011) revealed that chitosan and humic acid enhanced the growth, yield and improved physiological processes in plant.

Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide derived from the low acetyl form of chitin,

consisting primarily of glucosamine and Nacetylglucosamine, produced commercially from crab shells, shrimp shells, lobsters and squid, as well as from filamentous fungi (Kumaresapillai et al., 2011). biodegradable environmentally friendly, and widely used in agriculture. Previous studies have shown that chitosan can stimulate the growth index of plants (Farouk et al., 2008). Chitosan is a natural biopolymer modified by chitin that can serve as a potential biostimulant and trigger in agriculture. It is safe, bioactive, biodegradable and biocompatible, potentially wide applications. Previous investigations have demonstrated that chitosan has positive acts on some plants ornamental under stress-free conditions, such as increasing growth and flowering characteristics, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis and mineral nutrient absorption (Dzung et al., 2011; Salachna et al., 2015; Byczyńska, 2018). It is also used as a fertilizer and in controlled agrochemical release, to increase plant productivity (New et al., 2004), protect plants against microorganisms (Farouk et al., 2008), against oxidative stress (Guan et al., 2009) and stimulate plant growth (Farouk et al., 2011).

Humic acid (HA) is a Natural organic molecule with acidic groups such as carboxyl and phenolic hydroxyl (OH) functional groups. As a result, it gives organic macromolecules a crucial role in metal transport, bioavailability, and solubility (Chen and Zhu, 2006). Because of its effect on physiological and metabolic processes, it is utilized to boost early growth and blooming as well as root and nutrient efficiency. The beneficial impact of HA is related to its indirect effects on improving physical, chemical, and microbiological soil qualities, as well as its direct effects on physiological, biochemical, and hormone-like activities (Canellas and Olivares, 2014). Humic acid's method for stimulating plant development is assumed to be connected to increased cell membrane permeability, oxygen intake, photosynthesis, respiration, phosphorus uptake, and root cell elongation as plant growth factors proposed by many authors to explain the promotive effect of humic acid on such plant measurement (Cacco and Dell Agnolla, 1984 and Russo and Berlyn, 1990). Furthermore, HA has important acts for the transit and accessibility of micronutrients and has positive effects on its chemical synthesis, availability and soil structure. It also increases the amount of accessible microorganisms that promote nutrient intake (Bohme and Lua, 1997).

The purpose of this study is to investigate the ability of chitosan and humic acid to improve the growth and flowering of *Gomprena globosa* plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment of this study was conducted during 2022 and 2023 seasons in the greenhouse of the Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. The seeds of *Gomprena globosa* were obtained from the Horticulture Research Institute, and sown in plastic pots on the 1st of March during the two seasons; the emergency seedlings were transplanted in April when they started having 4 to 6 real leaves in 20 cm pots filled with sand and clay. The physical and chemical analysis of the used media were shown in Table (1) which was determined according to George *et al.* (2013).

Table 1. Physical and chemical analysis of the used potting media.

| | | 0 | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|--|
| Chen | nical analysis | Physical analysis | | |
| pH | 7.45 | V.C.S | 10.19% | |
| EC (1:1) | 16.46 dS m ⁻¹ | C.S. | 20.13% | |
| CO ₃ -2 | 0.00 | M.S. | 41.25% | |
| HCO ₃ - | 8.80 meq 1 ⁻¹ | F.S. | 14.41% | |
| Cl ⁻ | 112.0 meq 1 ⁻¹ | V.F.S. | 7.81% | |
| SO ₄ -2 | 34.66 meq 1 ⁻¹ | Silt+Clay | 6.21% | |
| Ca^{+2} | 27.18 meq 1 ⁻¹ | | | |
| Mg^{+2} | 23.36 meq 1 ⁻¹ | | | |
| \mathbf{K}^{+} | 15.04 meq 1 ⁻¹ | | | |
| Na ⁺ | 89.08 meq 1 ⁻¹ | | | |

Where; V.C.S: very coarse sand, C.S.: coarse sand, M.S.: medium sand, F.S.: fine sand and V.F.S.: very fine sand.

Three weeks from transplanting, the seedlings were sprayed with chitosan at rates (100, 300 and 500 mg l⁻¹) and humic acid at rates (300, 500 and 700 mg l⁻¹) in addition to control plants which were sprayed with distilled water, the second time was applied after on month from first spraying, and the same for third one. The common cultural practices were followed, including hand-picking of weeds and monthly plant fertilization with commercial Kristalon (NPK 19-19-19) at the rate of 2 g pot⁻¹.

The plants were harvested in August for each season to record the following data:

Vegetative growth parameters:

- 1. Plant height (cm).
- 2. Stem diameter (cm).
- 3. Leaf area (cm²).
- 4. Number of leaves (leaf plant⁻¹).
- 5. Number of branches (branch plant⁻¹).
- 6. Root length (cm).
- 7. Shoot fresh weight (g plant⁻¹).
- 8. Shoot dry weight (g plant⁻¹).
- 9. Root fresh weight (g plant⁻¹).
- 10. Root dry weight (g plant⁻¹).

Inflorescences parameters:

- 1. Number of inflorescences (inflorescence plant⁻¹).
- 2. Inflorescence diameter (cm).
- 3. Inflorescence height (cm).
- 4. Inflorescence fresh weight (g plant⁻¹).
- 5. Inflorescence dry weight (g plant⁻¹).

Chemical analysis:

- 1. Photosynthetic pigments including chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid (mg g⁻¹ F.W.) were determined according to Saric *et al.* (1967).
- 2. Total sugar content (mg g⁻¹ F.W.) was determined according to the methods described by Dubois *et al.* (1956).
- 3. Total flavonoid content (mg g⁻¹ F.W.) was

- determined according to Singleton et al. (1999).
- 4. Total phenols content (mg g⁻¹ F.W.) was determined according to Quettier *et al.* (2000).
- 5. Betalain pigment in inflorescence (mg 100 g⁻¹ F.W.) was determined according to Francis (2000) and Castellar *et al.* (2003).

Experiment layout and statistical analysis:

The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with 7 treatments with 3 replicates for each season. ANOVA was used to evaluate the collected data, and the means of the treatments were compared for significance using Duncan's new multiple range test (DMRT) at the 5% level of probability (Duncan, 1955). For each value, standard division (SD) was computed.

RESULTS

Vegetative growth parameters:

The current study illustrated that the natural growth promoters including chitosan and humic acid enhanced the growth Gomphrena globosa plant. Data in Tables (2 and 3) showed that the parameters of vegetative growth in terms of plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, root length, shoot (fresh and dry weights), and root (fresh and dry weights) were positively affected by both chitosan and humic acid at the different concentration as compared with control. Chitosan at the rate of 300 mg l⁻¹ gave the highest plant parameters (cm) 36.87 ± 1.21 , 131.00 ± 4.58 , 15.67 ± 3.22 , 26.77 ± 1.79 , 27.55 ± 2.07 , 7.10 ± 0.08 , 3.87 ± 0.40 and 1.46 ± 0.06 , respectively, in the first season and 36.25±1.99, 135.00±3.61, 16.00 ± 1.73 29.30±1.71, 29.02 ± 1.35 , 7.48 ± 0.08 , 4.60 ± 0.16 and 1.73 ± 0.06 , respectively, in the second season except stem diameter which significantly increased by treatment humic acid at rate 700 mg l⁻¹ giving (cm) 0.66 ± 0.03 and 0.64 ± 0.04 , respectively in 1st and 2nd seasons.

Table 2. Effect of chitosan (CHT) and humic acid (HA) on some growth parameters of

Gomphrena globosa plants during 2022 and 2023 seasons.

| Treatment (mg l ⁻¹) | Plant height (cm) | Stem diameter Leaf area (cm) (cm ²) | | No. of leaves (leaf plant ⁻¹) | No. of branches (branch plant ⁻¹) | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | 2022 | | | |
| Control | 30.23±1.77° | 0.48 ± 0.03^{d} | 9.15 ± 0.41^{e} | $102.00\pm4.36^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 10.00 ± 1.73^d | |
| CHT 100 | 34.50 ± 1.74^{b} | 0.56 ± 0.03^{c} | 11.00 ± 0.49^{d} | 124.00 ± 5.20^{bc} | 13.00 ± 2.65^{bc} | |
| CHT 300 | 36.87 ± 1.21^a | 0.62 ± 0.03^{ab} | 12.68 ± 0.40^{c} | 131.00 ± 4.58^{a} | 15.67 ± 3.22^a | |
| CHT 500 | 31.10±2.35° | 0.61 ± 0.04^{b} | 14.74 ± 0.55^{ab} | 114.00 ± 2.65^{d} | 11.67 ± 2.08^{cd} | |
| HA 300 | 33.10 ± 1.45^{b} | 0.60 ± 0.04^{bc} | 13.33±0.63° | 109.67 ± 3.50^{e} | 11.00 ± 2.00^{cd} | |
| HA 500 | 34.03 ± 2.75^{b} | 0.51 ± 0.04^d | 14.50 ± 0.38^{b} | 122.33±3.78° | 12.67 ± 1.53^{bc} | |
| HA 700 | 34.17 ± 2.60^{b} | 0.66 ± 0.03^{a} | 0.66±0.03 ^a 15.57±0.41 ^a | | 14.67 ± 3.22^{ab} | |
| | | | 2023 | | | |
| Control | 31.17±1.98e | 0.50 ± 0.04^{d} | $10.66 \pm 0.47^{\rm f}$ | $105.67 \pm 5.86^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 11.00 ± 1.00^d | |
| CHT 100 | 35.17 ± 2.37^{ab} | 0.54 ± 0.03^{cd} | 11.72±0.36 ^e | 122.33±5.03° | 14.67 ± 1.53^{ab} | |
| CHT 300 | 36.25 ± 1.99^a | 0.61 ± 0.05^{ab} | 13.50 ± 0.39^{d} | 135.00±3.61 ^a | 16.00 ± 1.73^{a} | |
| CHT 500 | 32.00 ± 1.73^{de} | 0.57 ± 0.03^{bc} | 15.09±0.39 ^b | 117.00 ± 3.61^{d} | 12.67 ± 1.15^{bcd} | |
| HA 300 | 32.40 ± 1.84^{cde} | 0.56 ± 0.04^{bc} | 14.27 ± 0.40^{c} | 111.67±3.79 ^e | 11.33±1.53 ^{cd} | |
| HA 500 | 33.43 ± 2.28^{cd} | 0.52 ± 0.03^{cd} | 14.85 ± 0.42^{b} | 120.00 ± 4.36^{cd} | 13.67 ± 1.57^{abc} | |
| HA 700 | 33.90 ± 2.82^{bc} | 0.64 ± 0.04^{a} | 16.48±0.31 ^a | 130.33±3.79 ^b | 15.33 ± 2.08^a | |

Mean values $(\pm SD)$ of the same letter in the same column do not significantly differ based on DMRT at 5% level.

Table 3. Effect of chitosan (CHT) and humic acid (HA) on some growth parameters of Gomphrena globosa plants during 2022 and 2023 seasons

| Treatment | Root length | Shoot fresh | Shoot dry weight | Root fresh weight | Root dry weight |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| (mg l ⁻¹) | (cm) | weight (g) | (g) | (g) | (g) |
| | | | 2022 | | |
| Control | 15.13±1.48e | 13.56 ± 1.19^{f} | 3.12 ± 0.07^{g} | 1.57 ± 0.24^d | 0.54 ± 0.06^{e} |
| CHT 100 | 25.20 ± 2.39^{b} | 24.78 ± 1.89^{bc} | 6.09 ± 0.05^{c} | 3.68 ± 0.31^{a} | 1.33 ± 0.08^{b} |
| CHT 300 | $26.77{\pm}1.79^a$ | 27.55 ± 2.07^{a} | 7.10 ± 0.08^{a} | 3.87 ± 0.40^{a} | $1.46{\pm}0.06^{a}$ |
| CHT 500 | 23.57 ± 2.46^{c} | 20.33 ± 1.25^d | 4.86 ± 0.05^{e} | 2.39 ± 0.39^{c} | 0.85 ± 0.04^{d} |
| HA 300 | 20.87 ± 1.87^d | 17.58±1.78 ^e | $4.15\pm0.06^{\rm f}$ | 1.73 ± 0.24^{d} | 0.61 ± 0.05^{e} |
| HA 500 | 21.27 ± 2.98^d | 24.42 ± 1.72^{c} | 5.89 ± 0.06^{d} | 2.89 ± 0.46^{b} | 1.04 ± 0.06^{c} |
| HA 700 | 24.00 ± 2.86^{bc} | 26.03±2.15 ^b | 6.57 ± 0.09^{b} | 3.71 ± 0.27^{a} | 1.37 ± 0.07^{ab} |
| | | | 2023 | | |
| Control | 15.77 ± 1.65^d | $14.76 \pm 1.32^{\rm f}$ | 3.40 ± 0.06^{g} | 1.88 ± 0.19^{g} | 0.64 ± 0.05^{g} |
| CHT 100 | 25.27 ± 1.50^{b} | 26.14 ± 1.70^{bc} | 6.43 ± 0.07^{c} | 3.65 ± 0.22^{c} | 1.32 ± 0.06^{c} |
| CHT 300 | 29.30 ± 1.71^{a} | 29.02 ± 1.35^a | $7.48{\pm}0.08^{a}$ | 4.60 ± 0.16^{a} | 1.73 ± 0.06^{a} |
| CHT 500 | 24.27 ± 1.69^{b} | 21.64 ± 1.40^{d} | 5.18 ± 0.07^{e} | 2.78 ± 0.13^{e} | $0.98\pm0.06^{\rm e}$ |
| HA 300 | 19.63±1.35° | 18.00 ± 1.46^{e} | $4.25 \pm 0.06^{\rm f}$ | $2.19\pm0.10^{\rm f}$ | $0.76\pm0.07^{\rm f}$ |
| HA 500 | 21.00±0.95° | 24.85±2.33° | 6.00 ± 0.08^{d} | 3.28 ± 0.15^d | 1.17 ± 0.07^{d} |
| HA 700 | 27.83 ± 1.86^a | 27.73 ± 1.13^{ab} | 6.98 ± 0.06^{b} | 3.96 ± 0.19^{b} | 1.47 ± 0.07^{b} |

Mean values $(\pm SD)$ of the same letter in the same column do not significantly differ based on DMRT at 5% level.

Flowering characteristics:

The obtained results showed an improvement in flowering characteristics when treated with chitosan and humic acid. Table (4) illustrates that the plants were treated with humic acid at the concentration at a rate of 700 mg l⁻¹ number increased significantly inflorescences giving values 25.33±1.53 and 24.67±1.53, inflorescences diameter giving values 1.53 ± 0.21 and 1.61 ± 0.13 , inflorescences height giving 2.42 ± 0.11 and 2.37 ± 0.15 , inflorescences fresh weight 4.68±0.15 and 4.42±0.23 and inflorescences dry weight giving values 1.45 ± 0.06 and 1.37 ± 0.05 , respectively, in both seasons. The plants treated with chitosan at the rate of 300 mg l⁻¹ also significant showed effect a inflorescence diameter giving values of 1.51 ± 0.10 and 1.56 ± 0.11 , respectively, in both seasons.

Chemical compositions:

Data presented in Table (5) pointed to the application of chitosan at the rate of 300 mg l⁻¹ most effective treatment for the content of photosynthetic pigments including chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids giving values 0.53 ± 0.03 , 0.23 ± 0.03 and 0.36 ± 0.04 , respectively, in 1st season and 0.57±0.04, 0.25 ± 0.03 and 0.47 ± 0.04 , in 2^{nd} season. Followed by the application of humic acid treatment at the concentration of 700 mg l⁻¹ giving values 0.49±0.03, 0.22±0.03 and 0.34±0.04, respectively, in the first season and 0.51±0.04, 0.22±0.03 and 0.44±0.03, respectively, in the second season.

Data presented in Table (5) ascertained that the utilization of chitosan and humic acid affected total sugars and flavonoids content in leaves, with the highest values obtained from the treatment of chitosan at a concentration of 300 mg l^{-1} giving 0.71 ± 0.04 and 3.30 ± 0.11 ,

respectively, in the first season and 0.76 ± 0.05 and 3.41 ± 0.11 , respectively, in the second season. Plants treated with humic acid at a concentration of $700 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{l}^{-1}$, on the other hand, had higher total phenols content, with values of 1.59 ± 0.09 and 1.64 ± 0.10 in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Regarding betalain pigments in the inflorescence, the data presented in the same Table showed that the highest content of betalain was obtained from the plants treated with chitosan at a concentration of 300 mg l⁻¹ giving values 1.08±0.09 and 1.10±0.06, respectively, in both seasons. Followed by the plants treated with humic acid at a concentration of 700 mg l⁻¹ giving values 0.96±0.06 and 1.03±0.06, respectively, in both seasons.

DISCUSSION

Natural growth promoters had a clear effect growth and flowering on characteristics and the content of some chemical components in Gomphrena globosa plant. Chitosan is an important amino polysaccharide and a deacetylated, modified version of chitin. It has great including non-toxicity, advantages, biocompatibility, and biodegradability (Cardona and Rutherford, 2019).

Zong et al. (2017) indicated that chitosan application improved plant growth; it may be due to increasing the thickness of the leaf blade by increasing the thickness of the mesophyll tissue and (through the midrib region) the midrib vascular bundle. It also increased the thickness of the water storage tissue and increased the area of the xylem and stimulating pro-cambial phloem by activity in the midrib bundle during differentiation (Farouk and Ramadan, 2012), or may be attributed to an increase in the availability and uptake of water and

Table 4. Effect of chitosan (CHT) and humic acid (HA) on inflorescence characteristics of *Gomphrena globosa* plants during 2022 and 2023 seasons.

| Treatment (mg l ⁻¹) | Number of Inflorescences (inflorescence plant ⁻¹) | Inflorescence diameter (cm) | Inflorescence height (cm) | Inflorescence fresh weight (g) | Inflorescence dry weight (g) |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| _ | | | 2022 | | |
| Control | 11.33±0.58e | 0.76 ± 0.12^{d} | 1.20 ± 0.26^{e} | $1.41\pm0.10^{\rm f}$ | $0.40\pm0.05^{\rm f}$ |
| CHT 100 | 12.33±1.15e | 1.07 ± 0.15^{c} | 1.60 ± 0.17^{d} | 2.57 ± 0.11^{e} | 0.74 ± 0.06^{e} |
| CHT 300 | 20.67 ± 2.08^{b} | 1.51 ± 0.10^{a} | 2.26 ± 0.15^{ab} | 4.15 ± 0.24^{b} | 1.27 ± 0.07^{b} |
| CHT 500 | 17.00 ± 1.00^{cd} | 1.20 ± 0.22^{bc} | 1.97 ± 0.20^{bc} | 3.30 ± 0.21^{d} | 0.99 ± 0.05^d |
| HA 300 | 15.33 ± 1.52^{d} | 1.16 ± 0.12^{bc} | 1.73 ± 0.11^{cd} | 3.22 ± 0.14^{d} | 0.94 ± 0.07^{d} |
| HA 500 | 18.33±1.53° | 1.32 ± 0.11^{b} | 2.21 ± 0.26^{ab} | 3.69 ± 0.18^{c} | 1.11 ± 0.05^{c} |
| HA 700 | 25.33 ± 1.53^{a} | 1.53±0.21 ^a | 2.42±0.11a | 4.68 ± 0.15^{a} | $1.45{\pm}0.06^a$ |
| | | | 2023 | | |
| Control | $12.00\pm1.73^{\rm f}$ | 0.93 ± 0.09^{d} | $1.36\pm0.10^{\rm f}$ | 1.64 ± 0.11^{g} | $0.46 \pm 0.07^{\rm f}$ |
| CHT 100 | 13.67±1.53e | 1.18 ± 0.12^{c} | 1.56 ± 0.13^{f} | $2.71\pm0.18^{\rm f}$ | 0.78 ± 0.08^{e} |
| CHT 300 | 22.33 ± 2.08^{b} | 1.56 ± 0.11^{a} | 2.22 ± 0.14^{ab} | 4.26 ± 0.15^{b} | 1.30 ± 0.08^{ab} |
| CHT 500 | 17.67 ± 1.53^{d} | 1.30 ± 0.13^{bc} | 2.04 ± 0.19^{c} | 3.51 ± 0.22^{d} | 1.05 ± 0.06^{c} |
| HA 300 | 16.67 ± 1.53^{d} | 1.23 ± 0.10^{c} | 1.88 ± 0.22^{d} | 3.16 ± 0.16^{e} | 0.92 ± 0.05^d |
| HA 500 | 20.00 ± 2.00^{c} | 1.49 ± 0.12^{ab} | 2.14 ± 0.11^{bc} | 4.00 ± 0.25^{c} | 1.20 ± 0.05^{b} |
| HA 700 | 24.67 ± 1.53^a | 1.61±0.13 ^a | $2.37{\pm}0.15^a$ | 4.42±0.23a | 1.37±0.05 ^a |

Mean values (\pm SD) of the same letter in the same column do not significantly differ based on DMRT at 5% level.

Table 5. Effect of chitosan (CHT) and humic acid (HA) on chemical compositions of *Gomphrena globosa* plants during 2022 and 2023 seasons.

| Treatment (mg l ⁻¹) | a | Chlorophyll b (mg g ⁻¹ F.W.) | Carotenoids (mg g ⁻¹ F.W.) | Total sugars content (mg g ⁻¹ F.W.) | Total flavonoid content (mg g ⁻¹ F.W.) | Total Phenols content (mg g ⁻¹ F.W.) | Betalain (mg 100 g ⁻¹ F.W.) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | 2022 | | | |
| Control | 0.20 ± 0.01^{g} | $0.09\pm0.02^{\rm f}$ | $0.14\pm0.02^{\rm f}$ | $0.40\pm0.04f$ | $1.58\pm0.09f$ | 1.13 ± 0.07^{d} | 0.63 ± 0.06^{e} |
| CHT 100 | 0.41 ± 0.02^{c} | 0.19 ± 0.03^{b} | 0.30 ± 0.03^{bc} | $0.61\pm0.04c$ | 2.70±0.10b | 1.36 ± 0.09^{b} | 0.92 ± 0.09^{b} |
| CHT 300 | 0.53 ± 0.03^{a} | $0.23{\pm}0.03^a$ | 0.36 ± 0.04^a | $0.71\pm0.04a$ | 3.30±0.11a | 1.52 ± 0.09^{a} | 1.08 ± 0.09^{a} |
| CHT 500 | $0.29\pm0.03^{\rm f}$ | 0.11 ± 0.03^{e} | 0.19 ± 0.03^{ef} | $0.43\pm0.03f$ | 1.70±0.11e | 1.17 ± 0.08^{cd} | 0.71 ± 0.06^{de} |
| HA 300 | 0.33 ± 0.02^{e} | 0.14 ± 0.03^{d} | 0.20 ± 0.03^{de} | $0.49\pm0.03e$ | 2.25±0.09d | 1.20 ± 0.07^{cd} | 0.77 ± 0.04^{cd} |
| HA 500 | 0.37 ± 0.04^{d} | 0.17 ± 0.03^{c} | 0.25 ± 0.03^{cd} | $0.56\pm0.05d$ | 2.58±0.10c | 1.29 ± 0.08^{bc} | 0.85 ± 0.06^{bc} |
| HA 700 | 0.49 ± 0.03^{b} | 0.22 ± 0.03^a | 0.34 ± 0.04^{ab} | $0.65\pm0.04b$ | 2.71±0.08b | 1.59 ± 0.09^{a} | 0.96 ± 0.06^{ab} |
| | | | | 2023 | | | |
| Control | 0.22 ± 0.03^{e} | $0.11 \pm 0.02 f$ | 0.18 ± 0.04^{e} | $0.42\pm0.03e$ | $1.83 \pm 0.08 f$ | 1.16 ± 0.08^{d} | 0.67 ± 0.06^{e} |
| CHT 100 | 0.43 ± 0.04^{c} | 0.20 ± 0.02^{c} | 0.37 ± 0.03^{b} | $0.68\pm0.04b$ | 2.87±0.09c | 1.40 ± 0.10^{b} | 0.90 ± 0.04^{b} |
| CHT 300 | 0.57 ± 0.04^{a} | $0.25{\pm}0.03^a$ | $0.47{\pm}0.04^a$ | $0.76\pm0.05a$ | 3.41±0.11a | 1.57 ± 0.08^a | 1.10 ± 0.06^{a} |
| CHT 500 | 0.31 ± 0.03^{d} | 0.14 ± 0.03^{e} | 0.22 ± 0.03^{de} | $0.51\pm0.05d$ | 2.23±0.09e | 1.22 ± 0.07^{cd} | 0.74 ± 0.05^{de} |
| HA 300 | 0.38 ± 0.03^{c} | 0.17 ± 0.04^d | 0.26 ± 0.04^d | $0.57\pm0.03c$ | 2.49±0.10d | 1.27 ± 0.06^{bcd} | 0.80 ± 0.05^{cd} |
| HA 500 | 0.40 ± 0.03^{c} | 0.18 ± 0.02^d | 0.31 ± 0.04^{c} | $0.61\pm0.04c$ | 2.65±0.11d | 1.32 ± 0.09^{bc} | 0.88 ± 0.07^{bc} |
| HA 700 | 0.51 ± 0.04^{b} | 0.22 ± 0.03^{b} | 0.44 ± 0.03^{a} | 0.73±0.04ab | 3.10±0.09b | 1.64±0.10 ^a | 1.03±0.06 ^a |

Mean values $(\pm SD)$ of the same letter in the same column do not significantly differ based on DMRT at 5% level.

essential nutrients through adjusting cell osmotic pressure (Guan et al., 2009). It may occur due to an increase in the main enzymatic activities of nitrogen metabolism (nitrate reductase, glutamine synthetase, and protease) and improved transportation of nitrogen (N) in the functional leaves, which enhances the photosynthesis process and improves plant growth and development (Mondal et al., 2012).

Khan et al. (2002) chitosan foliar application improved net photosynthetic rates and was associated with increases in stomatal conductance and transpiration rate, but had no influence on intercellular CO₂ concentration in soybean and maize. enhance levels Chitosan may cytokinins, which induce chlorophyll synthesis (Chibu and Shibayama, 2001). Moreover, chitosan has the potential to protect the membranes and help to eliminate active oxygen species (AOS) (Xue et al., 2004). Ahmed et al. (2023) explained that the growth parameters, carbohydrate content, and photosynthetic pigments of Rosmarinus officinalis plant were enhanced by chitosan treatments. Godase et al. (2023) illustrated the growth characters and photosynthetic pigments of *Lablab* purpureus L. Sweet. were positively affected by chitosan application. Furthermore, Nofal et al. (2021) proved the stimulative effect of chitosan application on Catharanthus roseus plants as compared to the other bio-stimulants. Humates are natural organic substances, high in humic acid and containing most of the known trace minerals necessary for plant growth and production. Humic acid is a natural polymer organic compound (Senn, 1991). Humic acid, which has been complexed with potassium and has multiple actions related to water relations, protein and fat synthesis, and magnesium stimulation of several plant enzymes required for vegetative growth, could explain the observed increase. Humic acid also functions as a catalyst, increasing soil microbial activity. (Sharif et al., 2002). Furthermore, one of the most bioactive humate molecules increases potassium levels in leaves. These findings are like those reported by Ali et al. (2008) on gerbera. Increasing the number branches involves getting better and higher quality. One of the most notable effects of fulvic acid is that it promotes root development and respiration, which improves plant growth and yield; these findings are like those published by Rongting et al. (2017) on mums' plants. It been discovered that nitrogen molecules included in humic acid play a vital role in plant development, also humic acid boosts shoot development promoting calcium, nitrogen, phosphorus, manganese, potassium, iron, zinc and copper intake, as well as having hormonelike effects. Humic acid was also reported to improve plant development by raising the activity of the RuBisCO enzyme, which led to an increase in photosynthetic (Abaszadeh et activity al., 2018). Likewise, humic acid lowers the pH of soils improves alkaline and development by influencing metabolic activity and improving nutrient uptake, absorption particularly nitrogen (Akladious and Mohamed, 2018). The results were obtained in the same line with Abdellatif et al. (2017) on a tomato plant, Hafiz (2018) on a Lepidium sativum plant, furthermore El-Sayed et al. (2022) on Dimorphotheca ecklonis. Moreover, Abd El-baset and Kasem (2022) pointed out that the application of humic acid has a effect on vegetative parameters, and flowering traits, and also promote total chlorophylls, carotenoids, and total carbohydrates of the *Dendranthema grandiflorum* plant.

CONCLUSION

Based on our results, it may be concluded that the concentration of 300 mg l⁻¹ of chitosan had a significant effect on the growth, flowering, and chemical constituents of *Gomphrena globosa* plants, followed by humic acid at 700 mg l⁻¹.

REFERENCES

- Abaszadeh, F.R.; Shoor, M.; Tehranifar, A.; Abedi, B. and Safari, N. (2018). Effects of humic acid and fulvic acid on some morphological characteristics of geranium. Journal of Horticulture Science, 32(1):35-50.
- Abd El-Baset, M.M. and Kasem, M.M. (2022). Improving growth characteristics and vase life of *Dendranthema grandiflorum* 'Flyer' using humic and fulvic acids as biostimulants substances. Scientific J. Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 9(2):87-102.
- Abdellatif, I.M.Y.; Abdel-Ati, Y.Y.; Abdel-Mageed, Y.T. and Hassan, M.A.M. (2017). Effect of humic acid on growth and productivity of tomato plants under heat stress. Journal of Horticulture Research, 25(2):59-66.
- Ahmed, A.M.A.; Abd-Rabbu, H.S.; Wahba, H.E. and Khalid, A.Kh. (2023). Chitosan and salty irrigation water affect morphological and physiological characteristics of rosemary herb. Agriculture Water Management, 286:1-15.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2023.108 381
- Akladious, S.A. and Mohamed, H.I. (2018). Ameliorative effects of calcium nitrate and humic acid on the growth, yield component and biochemical attributes of pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) plants grown under salt stress. Sci. Hortic., 236:244-250.

- Ali, N.; Kafi, M.; Babalar, M.; Xia, Y.P.; Luo, A. and Etemadi, N. (2008). Effect of humic acid on plant growth, nutrient uptake and postharvest life of gerbera. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 31:2155-2167. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160802462819
- Bohme, M. and Lua, H. (1997). Influence of mineral and organic treatments in the rhizosphere on the growth of tomato plants. Acta Hortic., 450:161-168.
- Byczyńska, A. (2018). Chitosan improves growth and bulb yield of pineapple lily (*Eucomis bicolor* Baker) an ornamental and medicinal plant. World Scientific News, 110:159-171.
- Cacco, G. and Dell Agnolla, G. (1984). Plant regulator activity of soluble humic substances. Can. J. Soil Sci., 64:25-28.
- Canellas, L.P. and Olivares, F.L. (2014). Physiological responses to humic substances as plant growth promoter. Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture, Naples, 1(1):3-14.
- Cardona, T. and Rutherford, A.W. (2019). Evolution of photochemical reaction centres: more twists? Trends in Plant Science, 24: 1008-1021.
- Castellar, M.R.; Obo'n, J.M.; Alacid, M. and Ferna'ndez-Lo'pez, J.A. (2003). Color properties and stability of betacyanins from Opuntia fruits. J. Agric. Food Chem., 51:2772-2776.
- Chen, B. and Zhu, Y.G. (2006). Humic acids increase the phytoavailability of Cd and Pb to wheat plants cultivated in freshly spiked, Contaminated Soil. Journal of Soils and Sediments, 6:236-242.
- Chibu, H. and Shibayama, H. (2001). Effects of chitosan applications on the growth of several crops. In: Uragami, T.; Kurita, K. and Fukamizo, T. (eds.), Chitin and Chitosan in Life Science, Kodansha Scientific, Yamaguchi, Japan, pp. 235–239.

- Cocozza-Talia, M.A. (1993). Research on *Gomphrena globosa* L. and *Cirsium japonicum* DC. as cut flower (Apulia). Port. Crop., 22(3):105-106.
- Dubois, M.; Gilles, K.A.; Hamilton, J.K.; Rebers, P.A. and Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem., 28:350-356.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple Ftests. Biometrices, 11:1-42.
- Dzung, N.A.; Khanh, V.T.P. and Dzung, T.T. (2011). Research on impact of chitosan oligomers on biophysical characteristics, growth, development and drought resistance of coffee. Carbohydrate Polymers, 84:751-755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.07. 066.
- El-Sayed, S.M.; Abd El-Aziz, N.G. and Mazhar, A.A.M. (2022). Antioxidant isoenzymes, chemical constituents and growth parameters of cadmium-stressed *Dimorphotheca ecklonis* plant and affected by humic acid. Egypt. J. Chem., 65(12):519-532.
- Elsayed, Sh.I.M.; Mazhar, A.A.M.; El-Sayed, S.M. and Mohamed, A.S. (2022). Improvement the drought tolerance of **Eucalyptus** citriodora seedling by spraying basil leaves extract and its influence growth, on volatile oil components and some enzymatic activity. Egypt. J. Chem., 65(12):619-635. https://doi.org/10.21608/EJCHEM.2022. 127566.5662
- Farouk, S.; Ghoneem, K.M. and Ali, A.A. (2008). Induction and expression of systemic resistance to downy mildew disease in cucumber by elicitors. Egyptian Journal of Phytopathology, 36(1-2):95-111.
- Farouk, S.; Mosa, A.A.; Taha, A.A.; Ibrahim, H.M. and EL-Gahmery, A.M. (2011). Protective effect of humic acid and chitosan on radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.

- var. *sativus*) plants subjected to cadmium stress. Journal of Stress Physiology and Biochemistry, 7(2):99-116.
- Farouk, S. and Ramadan, A.A. (2012). Improving growth and yield of cowpea by foliar application of chitosan under water stress. Egyptian Journal of Biology, 14:14-26.
- Francis, F. G. (2000). Anthocyanin and betalains composition and application. Cereal Food World, 45:208-213.
- George, E.; Rolf, S. and John, R. (2013). Methods of Soil, Plant and Water Analysis: A Manual of The West Asia and North Africa Region. International Center of Agricultural Research in The Dry Areas (ICARDA), 243 p.
- Godase, H.M.; Mane, A.V.; Mahadik, S.G.; Pethe, U.B. and Kasture, M.C. (2023). Effect of chitosan by seed priming and foliar application on growth and yield of Wal (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet) under water stress. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 12(2):1213-1217.
- Guan, Y.j.; Jin, H.; Xian, j.W. and Chen, X.Sh. (2009). Seed priming with chitosan improves maize germination and seedling growth in relation to physiological changes under low temperature stress. Journal of Zhejiang University Science B, 10(6):427-433.
- Hafiz, Y.A.M. (2018). Effect of humic acid soil application and foliar spray of some nutrient elements on growth, yield and chemical composition of *Lepidium sativum* plant. J. Product. and Dev., 23(3):607-625.
- Khan, M.H.; Singha, K.L.B. and Panda, S.K. (2002). Changes in antioxidant levels in *Oryza sativa* L. roots subjected to NaCl salinity stress. Acta Physiologia Plantarum, 24:145-148.
- Kumaresapillai, N.; Basha, R.A. and Sathish, R. (2011). Production and evaluation of chitosan from *Aspergillus niger* MTCC strains. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 10:553-558.

- Mohamed, A.S.; El-Sayed, S.M.; Elsayed, Sh.I.M. and Mazher, A.M. (2023). Impact of turmeric and carrot extracts on morphological, chemical composition and isozymes patterns of *Azadirachta indica* seedlings under water deficiency conditions. Egyptian Pharmaceutical Journal, 22:466-480.
- Mohamed, A.S.; El-Sayed, S.M. and El-Shamy M.A. (2022). Impact of some biostimulants on performance of *Zinnia elegans* seedlings. Scientific J. Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 9(4):273-288.
- Mondal, M.M.A.; Malek, M.A.; Puteh, A.B.; Ismail, M.R.; Ashrafuzzaman, M. and Naher, L. (2012). Effect of foliar application of chitosan on growth and yield in okra. Australian Journal of Crop Science, 6:918-921.
- New, N.; Chandrkrachang, S. and Stevens, W.F. (2004). Application of chitosan in Myanmar's agriculture sector, Proc. the Sixth Asia Pacific Chitin and Chitosan Symposium, May 23–26, The National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- Nofal, E.M.S.; Menesy, F.A.; Abd El-Hady, W.M. and Shehab El-Deen, E.G. (2021). Effect of bio-stimulants (humic acid, salicylic acid and chitosan) on rose periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus* L.). Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 19(2):971-980. http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1902_971980
- Quettier-Deleu, C.; Gressier, B.; Vasseur, J.; Dine, T.; Brunet, C.; Luyckx, M.; Cayin, J.; Bailleul, F. and Trotin, F. (2000). Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) hulls and flour. Journal of ethnopharmacology, 72(1-2):35-42.
- Rongting, J.; Gangqiang, D.; Weiming, S. and Ju, M. (2017). Effects of liquidorganic fertilizers on plant growth andrhizosphere soil characteristics of chrysanthemum. Sustainability, 9:1-16.

- Roriz, C.L.; Barros, L.; Prieto, M.A. Morales, P. and Ferreira, I.C. (2017). Floral parts of *Gomphrena globosa* L. as novel alternative source of betacyanins: optimization of the extraction using response surface methodology. Food Chem. 229:223-234.
- Russo, R.O. and Berlyn, G.P. (1990). The use of organic bio-stimulants to help low-input sustainable agriculture. J. Sustain. Agric., 1:19-42.
- Salachna, P.; Wilas, J. and Zawadzińska, A. (2015). The effect of chitosan coating of bulbs on the growth and flowering of *Ornithogalum saundersiae*. Acta Horticulturae, 1104:115-118. http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.20 15.1104.18
- Saric, M.; Katrori, R.; Curic, R.; Cupina, T. and Gric, I. (1967). Chlorophyll determination. Univerzitet U. Noveon Sadu Praktikumiz Fiziologize Biljakabeograd, Hauena Anjiga, 215.
- Senn, T.L. (1991). Humates in Agriculture. Acres U.S.A.: A Voice For Eco-Agriculture, 21(1):12-13.
- Sharif, M.; Khattak, R.A., and Sarir, M.S. (2002). Effect of different levels of lignitic coal derived humic acid on growth of maize plants. Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 33:3567-3580.
- Singleton, V.L.; Orthofer, R. and LamuelaRaventos, R.M. (1999). Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteureagent. Methods Enzymol., 299:152-178.
- Xue, G.X.; Gao, H.Y.; Li, P.M. and Zou, O. (2004).**Effects** of chitosan physiological and treatment on characteristics biochemical in cucumber seedlings under low temperature. Journal of Plant Physiology and Molecular Biology, 30:441-448.
- Yaseen, T.; Khan, F.U.; Lone, R.A.; Abbas, A.; Slathia, D.; Farooq, I. and Rahat,

Scientific J. Flowers & Ornamental Plants, 10(4):233-243 (2023)

(2022). Improving floral characteristics and yield of globe amaranth (*Gomphrena globosa* L.) through pinching and application of bio fertilizers and its impact on soil fertility. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 11(10):425-430

Zong, H.; Liu, S.; Xing, R.; Chen, X. and Li, P. (2017). Protective effect of chitosan on

photosynthesis and antioxidative defense system in edible rape (*Brassica rapa* L.) in the presence of cadmium. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 138: 271–278.

تطبيق منشطات النمو الطبيعية لتحسين نمو وتزهير نبات المدنة

أسماء السيد عبد الحافظ"، سماح مصطفى السيد""، عمرو سعيد محمد" "قسم بحوث الحدائق النباتية، معهد بحوث البساتين، مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر "" قسم نباتات الزينة والأشجار الخشبية، المركز القومي للبحوث، الدقي، مصر

الهدف من الدراسة هو اختبار التأثير المعزز للشيتوزان بمعدلات ١٠٠ و ٢٠٠٠ و ٥٠٠ ملجرام لتر- وحمض الهيوميك بمعدلات ١٠٠ و ٥٠٠ و ٥٠٠ ملجرام لتر- كتطبيق ورقي على نبات المدنة بالإضافة إلى النباتات الغير معاملة التي تم رشها بالماء المقطر خلال الموسمين ٢٠٢٢ و ٢٠٢٣. أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن النباتات التي تم رشها ورقياً بالشيتوزان بتركيز ٢٠٠٠ ملجرام لتر- أعطت أعلى معدل لارتفاع النبات، عدد الأوراق، عدد الأفرع، طول الجذر، و الأوزان الطازجة و الجافة للمجموع الخضري و الجذري. علاوة على ذلك فإن إضافة حامض الهيوميك بمعدل ٢٠٠ ملجرام لتر- أدى إلى زيادة قيم جميع صفات التزهير بما في ذلك عدد النورات، قطر النورات، طول النورات، وزن النورات الطازجة والجافة. كما وجد أن معظم المكونات الكيميائية بما في ذلك صبغات البناء الضوئي والسكريات الكلية والفلافونويدات في الأوراق و صبغة البيتالين في النورات زادت في النباتات المعاملة بالشيتوزان ٢٠٠٠ ملجرام لتر- اباستثناء محتوى الفينولات الكلي في الأوراق الذي أظهر أعلى القيم في النباتات المعاملة بحمض الهيوميك بتركيز ٢٠٠ ملجرام لتر- ا