

## EFFECT OF POTASSIUM FERTILIZATION ON TWO *MENTHA* SPECIES

M.A.H. Abdou, E.T. Ahmed and M.S.S. Ali  
Horticulture Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt



Scientific J. Flowers &  
Ornamental Plants,  
11(2):107-114 (2024).

Received:  
16/5/2024

Accepted:  
20/6/2024

Corresponding author:  
M.A.H. Abdou  
mahmoudhassanabdouh@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** A field experiment was carried out at Cairo Aromatic Farm found in Farafra Oasis (New Valley) through two growing seasons (2022 and 2023) to examine the impact of different levels of potassium sulfate ( 50% K<sub>2</sub>O) fertilization (0.0, 45, 90 and 135 kg/fed K<sub>2</sub>O/fed) on the vegetative growth characters (mean plant height, leaf area, number of plants per square meter and herb fresh and dry weights per cut as well as herb fresh and dry weights either per m<sup>2</sup> or per feddan) of two mint species (*Mentha spicata* & *Mentha piperita*). Data showed that all previous traits were significantly augmented with *Mentha spicata* than *Mentha piperita* in all cases. Also, such abovementioned parameters were increased with increasing potassium fertilization levels, where, 135 K<sub>2</sub>O/fed produced the highest values in all cases. The interaction was significant for all studied parameters in all cases. It is advisable that the combination treatment between high level of potassium with *Mentha spicata* was the best in this regard.

**Keywords:** *Mentha spp.*, spearmint, peppermint, nutrition, potassium, vegetative growth

### INTRODUCTION

*Mentha* genus is a member of the Lamiaceae Family and comprises over 30 species. Plants within this family are known for being a valuable source of polyphenols, which may confer potent antioxidant properties.

Egypt has a long-standing tradition of cultivating mint, making it one of the most significant herbs in the country (Abd El-Wahab, 2009). *Mentha spp.* are commonly used in the form of tea for the treatment of stomach discomfort and chest-related ailments in home remedies. This herbal infusion is known to improve digestive processes and alleviate various disorders such as stomach pain, gastritis, dyspepsia, flatulence, enteritis, intestinal colic, gastric acidity, aerophagia, and spasms affecting the gallbladder, bile duct, and gastrointestinal tract (Abbaszadeh *et al.*, 2009). *Mentha*

*spicata* and *Mentha piperita* are members of this genus under our study.

Potassium plays a crucial role in plant metabolism by facilitating the production of carbohydrates, fats, and proteins, ultimately enhancing crop yield and quality. This essential mineral is vital for various physiological functions, including photosynthesis, transportation of photosynthates to different plant parts, maintenance of cell turgor, and activation of enzymes, particularly during overcast conditions (Marschner, 1995, Mengel and Kirkby, 2001 and Cakmak, 2005). Many researchers concluded that potassium fertilization enhanced growth on *Mentha spp.* such as Valmorbidia and Boaro (2007), Nemeth *et al.* (2012), Hassani *et al.* (2015), Sheykholeslami *et al.* (2015), Chrysargyris *et al.* (2017) and Lothe *et al.* (2021).

So, this work aimed to determine the adequate potassium rate to get maximum yield especially under new reclaimed soils.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present field experiment was conducted over two consecutive growing seasons, specifically 2022 and 2023, at Cairo Aromatic Farm located in Farafra Oasis (New Valley). The primary objective of this study was to examine the impact of varying levels of potassium fertilization on the vegetative growth properties of two mint species, namely spearmint (*Mentha spicata*) and peppermint (*Mentha piperita*).

### Plant material and Treatments:

The seedlings of both mint species (peppermint and spearmint) in the two seasons were obtained from Giza Seeds Company, Giza, 6<sup>th</sup> October, Egypt. Uniform seedlings of the two *Mentha* species were sown on February 25<sup>th</sup> in the two growing seasons in hills with 25 × 25 cm apart and between hills (16 plant/m<sup>2</sup>). Each unit area was 1 m<sup>2</sup> (1 m width × 1 m length). So, each replicate was 2.5 m width × 6 m length and contains 2 main plots (1 m × 6 m) and 4 sub-plots (potassium treatments), 1 m × 4 m. To prevent seepage, a 0.5 m between main and sub-plots was left, under pivot irrigation system. Thus, the number of plants/fed was 64000 plants.

Two weeks before planting date, compost was added at 12 tons per fed during preparation of the soil for planting in the two experimental seasons in Cairo Aromatic Farm. Compost was obtained from Konoz company. Calcium triple phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was added at 200 kg/fed + 50 kg sulfur

(98% S)/fed. Nitrogen was added at 150 kg ammonium sulphate (20.5% N)/fed (traditional dose under this region) at three equal doses (one week after potassium fertilization for each dose).

The potassium sulphate (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) fertilization treatments were used as a soil application at the rate of 0.0, 90, 180, and 270 kg/fed for both mint species were split into three equal doses with a 45-day interval, commencing on March 15<sup>th</sup>, then on May 1<sup>st</sup>, and finally on June 15<sup>th</sup> in both seasons. Mint harvesting was conducted three times with a 43-day interval, starting on April 27<sup>th</sup>, followed by June 13<sup>th</sup>, and lastly on July 28<sup>th</sup> in both seasons. The Physical and chemical properties of the used soil in Cairo Aromatic Farm, Farafra Oasis (New valley) were shown in Table (a), according to ICARDA (2013).

A randomized complete block design in a split plot with three replications was followed. Mint species (*spearmint* and *peppermint*) were arranged in the main plots (A), while four levels of potassium fertilization (0.0, 45, 90 and 135 units K<sub>2</sub>O/fed) were occupied the sub-plots (B). Therefore, the interaction treatments (A × B) were 8 treatments.

### Data recorded:

The recorded data of growth production were: plant length (cm), leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), number of plants/m<sup>2</sup>, herb fresh and dry weight (g/m<sup>2</sup>/cut), total fresh weight of herbage (ton/fed/season) and total herbage dry weight (ton/fed/season).

**Table a. Physical and chemical properties of the used soil before planting of mint during the two successive growing seasons of 2022 and 2023.**

Soil Character	2022	2023	Soil Character	2022	2023
<b>Physical properties</b>			<b>Exchangeable nutrients</b>		
Sand (%)	77.13	75.28	Ca <sup>++</sup> (meq/l)	6.51	6.45
Silt (%)	14.45	14.57	Mg <sup>++</sup> (meq/l)	6.03	6.00
Clay (%)	8.42	10.15	Na <sup>+</sup> (meq/l)	7.12	7.07
Soil texture	Sandy	Sandy	K <sup>+</sup> (meq/l)	1.65	1.57
<b>Chemical properties</b>			<b>DTPA-Extractable nutrients</b>		
pH (1:2.5)	8.31	8.33	Fe (ppm)	0.35	0.33
E.C. (dS/m)	2.04	2.06	Cu (ppm)	0.07	0.06
O.M. (%)	0.03	0.04	Zn (ppm)	0.14	0.12
CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)	9.98	10.06	Mn (ppm)	0.26	0.25

**Statistical analysis:**

The obtained data for all traits were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to MSTAT-C (1986) and LSD test was followed to compare between means of treatments.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Regardless of the impact of all treatments, data presented in Tables (1 to 6) proved that all vegetative growth characteristics (plant height, number of plants per m<sup>2</sup>, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), herb fresh and dry weights per plant per cut (g) were increased in both seasons in the third cut than either 2<sup>nd</sup> or 1<sup>st</sup> cut, except for plant height and leaf area, which were declined. This decline in such two parameters may be due to that plants development after the harvest did not reach the stage of height and leaf formation.

Changes in mean plant height, number of plants per m<sup>2</sup>, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), herb fresh and dry weights per plant per cut and per season per feddan of the two species (*Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita*) depending on the levels

of potassium fertilization were given in Tables (1 to 6). It was shown from the Tables that *Mentha spicata* was superior to *Mentha piperita* in all the abovementioned parameters in both seasons. The increase in fresh herbage reached 71.80 and 71.03% and dry mass (92.49 and 89.60%) over *Mentha piperita* in the first and second season, respectively. Such increase in all previous parameters due to the characteristics of *Mentha spp.* (Charles, 2013a and 2013b).

Data listed in the same Tables showed that increasing potassium rates from 90 to 270 kg potassium sulfate resulted in a significant increase for all previous traits. Therefore, the high level of K augmented the highest values. Such increase of fresh herb per feddan reached 58.19 and 59.40% and dry weight (58.35 and 59.38%) over control in the first and second seasons respectively, for 270 K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment.

Potassium is a crucial cation macronutrient that is required in significant amounts by plant cells, as it is integral to various physiological and biochemical

**Table 1. Effect of potassium fertilization on mean of plant height (cm) of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* during the three cuttings in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>		Mean (B)	<i>M. piperita</i>		Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
<b>First cut</b>						
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	29.17	28.20	28.69	29.75	29.05	29.40
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	31.40	30.87	31.14	32.12	31.82	31.97
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	35.47	32.60	34.04	36.42	33.68	35.05
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	40.33	37.93	39.13	41.54	39.26	40.40
Mean (A)	34.09	32.40	33.25	34.96	33.45	34.21
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 1.65	B: 1.31	AB: 2.47	A: 1.50	B: 1.34	AB: 1.90
<b>Second cut</b>						
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	25.60	24.20	24.90	26.14	24.97	25.56
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	32.30	28.87	30.59	33.05	29.88	31.47
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	34.60	31.67	33.14	35.54	32.69	34.12
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	37.07	34.83	35.95	38.22	36.05	37.14
Mean (A)	32.39	29.89	31.14	33.24	30.90	32.07
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 1.42	B: 1.70	AB: 2.41	A: 1.46	B: 1.76	AB: 2.48
<b>Third cut</b>						
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	24.87	23.27	24.07	25.41	24.01	24.71
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	27.93	26.47	27.20	28.58	27.35	27.97
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	32.77	29.10	30.94	33.66	30.10	31.88
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	35.13	32.93	34.03	36.29	34.07	35.18
Mean (A)	30.18	27.94	29.06	30.99	28.88	29.93
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 1.20	B: 1.09	AB: 1.72	A: 1.24	B: 1.22	AB: 1.59

**Table 2. Effect of potassium fertilization on leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* during the three cuttings in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
	<b>First cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	4.04	3.44	3.74	4.05	3.45	3.75
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.52	3.92	4.22	4.53	3.93	4.23
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.88	4.45	4.67	4.89	4.46	4.68
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	6.04	4.96	5.50	6.06	4.97	5.52
Mean (A)	4.87	4.19	4.53	4.88	4.20	4.54
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: NS	B: 0.28	AB: 0.39	A: NS	B: 0.28	AB: 0.39
	<b>Second cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	3.64	3.24	3.44	3.65	3.25	3.45
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.36	3.72	4.04	4.37	3.73	4.05
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.76	4.11	4.44	4.78	4.12	4.45
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	5.84	4.88	5.36	5.87	4.89	5.38
Mean (A)	4.65	3.99	4.32	4.67	4.00	4.33
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 0.29	B: 0.22	AB: 0.32	A: 0.29	B: 0.22	AB: 0.32
	<b>Third cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	3.48	3.03	3.26	3.49	3.04	3.27
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.16	3.52	3.84	4.17	3.53	3.85
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	4.33	4.08	4.21	4.35	4.09	4.22
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	5.58	4.57	5.08	5.61	4.58	5.10
Mean (A)	4.39	3.80	4.09	4.41	3.81	4.11
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 0.0.38	B: 0.33	AB: 0.47	A: 0.38	B: 0.33	AB: 0.47

**Table 3. Effect of potassium fertilization on number of plants/m<sup>2</sup> of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* during the three cuttings in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
	<b>First cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	29.7	21.0	25.4	30.3	21.4	25.9
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	31.1	22.5	26.8	31.7	23.0	27.4
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	33.5	23.7	28.6	34.2	24.2	29.2
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	34.6	25.0	29.8	35.3	25.5	30.4
Mean (A)	32.2	23.1	27.6	32.9	23.5	28.2
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 2.5	B: 1.4	AB: 2.0	A: 2.6	B: 1.5	AB: 2.1
	<b>Second cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	58.4	36.8	47.6	59.7	37.6	48.7
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	61.3	42.2	51.8	62.6	43.1	52.9
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	66.1	47.1	56.6	67.7	48.2	58.0
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	72.0	52.6	62.3	73.9	54.1	64.0
Mean (A)	64.5	44.7	54.6	66.0	45.8	55.9
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 1.1	B: 0.7	AB: 1.1	A: 1.1	B: 0.8	AB: 1.1
	<b>Third cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	100.5	69.9	85.2	102.7	71.5	87.1
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	113.5	75.3	94.4	116.1	77.0	96.6
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	124.7	86.2	105.5	128.1	88.3	108.2
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	131.5	95.8	113.7	135.4	98.8	117.1
Mean (A)	117.6	81.8	99.7	120.6	83.9	102.2
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 2.5	B: 0.7	AB: 1.0	A: 2.9	B: 0.7	AB: 1.0

**Table 4. Effect of potassium fertilization herb fresh weight (g/m<sup>2</sup>) of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* during the three cuttings in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
	<b>First cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	244.84	156.24	200.54	250.24	159.52	204.88
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	263.54	173.74	218.64	269.68	177.58	223.63
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	332.14	207.04	269.59	340.9	211.82	276.36
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	404.02	231.86	317.94	415.94	239.62	327.78
Mean (A)	311.14	192.22	251.68	319.19	197.14	258.16
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 30.76	B: 11.84	AB: 23.68	A: 31.54	B: 12.14	AB: 24.28
	<b>Second cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	568.68	302.34	435.51	580.8	309.06	444.93
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	630.68	355.2	492.94	644.18	363.46	503.82
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	704.32	432.46	568.39	721.58	443.44	582.51
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	885.3	517.26	701.28	909.72	532	720.86
Mean (A)	697.25	401.82	549.53	714.07	411.99	563.03
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 27.54	B: 15.72	AB: 31.44	A: 28.10	B: 16.12	AB: 32.24
	<b>Third cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	1051.36	626.26	838.81	1074.9	640.3	857.60
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	1250.82	699.4	975.11	1280.22	715.84	998.03
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	1397.38	828.82	1113.10	1435.96	851.7	1143.83
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	1665.52	962.18	1313.85	1716.66	991.72	1354.19
Mean (A)	1341.27	779.17	1060.22	1376.94	799.89	1088.41
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 23.30	B: 17.78	AB: 35.56	A: 23.96	B: 18.27	AB: 36.54

**Table 5. Effect of potassium fertilization herb dry weight (g/m<sup>2</sup>) of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* during the three cuttings in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
	<b>First cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	44.08	25.00	34.54	45.54	26.16	35.85
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	47.44	27.80	37.62	49.08	29.12	39.10
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	59.78	33.12	46.45	62.04	34.74	48.39
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	72.72	37.10	54.91	75.70	39.30	57.50
Mean (A)	56.01	30.76	43.38	58.09	32.33	45.21
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 5.42	B: 2.12	AB: 4.24	A: 5.64	B: 2.20	AB: 4.40
	<b>Second cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	102.36	48.38	75.37	106.28	51.00	78.64
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	113.52	56.84	85.18	117.88	59.98	88.93
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	126.78	69.20	97.99	132.04	73.16	102.60
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	159.36	82.76	121.06	166.48	87.78	127.13
Mean (A)	125.51	64.30	94.90	130.67	67.98	99.33
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 4.98	B: 2.76	AB: 3.92	A: 5.16	B: 2.88	AB: 4.08
	<b>Third cut</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	189.24	100.20	144.72	197.78	106.28	152.03
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	225.14	111.90	168.52	235.56	118.82	177.19
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	251.54	132.62	192.08	264.22	141.38	202.80
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	299.80	153.94	226.87	315.86	164.62	240.24
Mean (A)	241.43	124.67	183.05	253.36	132.78	193.07
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 3.90	B: 4.48	AB: 6.34	A: 4.12	B: 4.72	AB: 6.68

**Table 6. Effect of potassium fertilization total fresh and dry weights of herbage (ton/fed/season) of *Mentha spicata* and *Mentha piperita* in both growing seasons (2022 and 2023).**

Potassium fertilization treatments (kg/fed) (B)	<i>Mentha</i> species (A)					
	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)	<i>M. spicata</i>	<i>M. piperita</i>	Mean (B)
	First season (2022)			Second season (2023)		
	<b>Total fresh weight of herbage (ton/fed/season)</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	7.460	4.340	5.900	7.624	4.436	6.030
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	8.580	4.914	6.747	8.776	5.028	6.902
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	9.736	5.874	7.805	9.994	6.028	8.011
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	11.820	6.846	9.333	12.170	7.054	9.612
Mean (A)	9.399	5.494	7.446	9.641	5.637	7.639
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 0.114	B: 0.162	AB: 0.228	A: 0.116	B: 0.166	AB: 0.234
	<b>Total dry weight of herbage (ton/fed/season)</b>					
Control (K <sub>0</sub> )	1.342	0.694	1.018	1.398	0.734	1.066
K <sub>1</sub> (45 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	1.544	0.786	1.165	1.610	0.832	1.221
K <sub>2</sub> (90 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	1.752	0.940	1.346	1.834	0.998	1.416
K <sub>3</sub> (135 K <sub>2</sub> O/fed)	2.128	1.096	1.612	2.232	1.166	1.699
Mean (A)	1.692	0.879	1.285	1.769	0.933	1.351
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	A: 0.018	B: 0.028	AB: 0.040	A: 0.018	B: 0.030	AB: 0.042

processes, including the regulation of cell osmotic balance and the activation of enzymes, as noted by Marschner (1995) and Mengel and Kirkby (2001).

In accordance with our results were those findings of Jeliaskova *et al.* (1999), Nemeth *et al.* (2012), Hassani *et al.* (2015) and Sheykhosslami *et al.* (2015) on *Mentha piperita*; Chrysargyris *et al.* (2017) on *Mentha spicata*; and Lothe *et al.* (2021) on *Mentha arvensis*.

In all cases, the combination effect between *Mentha spp.* and potassium fertilization levels was significant for all studied parameters. The heaviest fresh herbage yield per feddan (11.820 and 12.170 ton) was achieved from *Mentha spicata* fertilized with 270 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Also, for *Mentha piperita*, the heaviest fresh weight (6.846 and 7.054 ton) was obtained with 270 kg K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. It is interesting to indicate that the response of *Mentha* to potassium fertilization was depending on the species, similarly, were the findings of Zheljaskov and Margina (1996) on mint.

## REFERENCES

- Abbaszadeh, B.; Farahani, H.; Valadabadi, S. and Moaveni, P. (2009). Investigations of variations of the morphological values and flowering shoot yield in different mint species at Iran. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, 1(7):109-112.
- Abd El-Waheb, M.A. (2009). Evaluation of spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.) productivity grown in different locations under upper Egypt conditions. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 5:250-254.
- Cakmak, I. (2005). The role of potassium in alleviating detrimental effects of abiotic stresses in plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 168(4):521-530.
- Charles, D.J. (2013a). Peppermint. In: Charles, D.J. (ed.), *Antioxidant Properties of Spices, Herbs and Other Sources*, Springer, New York, USA, pp. 469-475. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0\\_45](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0_45)
- Charles, D.J. (2013b). Spearmint. In: Charles, D.J. (ed.), *Antioxidant Properties of Spices, Herbs and Other Sources*, Springer Science + Business Media. New York USA, pp. 537-543. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0\\_52](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0_52)
- Chrysargyris, A.; Xylia, P.; Botsaris, G. and Tzortzakis, N. (2017). Antioxidant and antibacterial activities, mineral and essential oil composition of spearmint

- (*Mentha spicata* L.) affected by the potassium levels. Industrial Crops and Products, 103:202-212.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.04.010>
- Hassani, A.; Tajali, A.A.; Mazinani, S.H. and Hassani, M. (2015). Studying the conventional chemical fertilizers and nano-fertilizer of iron, zinc and potassium on quantitative yield of the medicinal plant of peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) in Khuzestan. International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research, 3(4):1078-1082.
- ICARDA (2013). Methods of Soil, Plant and Water Analysis: A Manual for the West Asia and North Africa Region, Third edition, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Beirut, Lebanon, 243 p.
- Jeliazkova, E.A.; Zheljzakov, V.D.; Craker, L.E.; Yankov, B. and Georgieva, T. (1999). NPK fertilizer and yields of peppermint, *Mentha × piperita*. Acta Hort., 502:231-236.  
<https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1999.502.37>
- Lothe, N.B.; Mazeed, A.; Pandey, J.; Patariya, V.; Verma, K.; Semwal, M.; Verma, R.S. and Verma, R.K. (2021). Maximizing yields and economics by supplementing additional nutrients for commercially grown menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) cultivars. Industrial Crops and Products, 160:1-8.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.113110>
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Academic Press, London, UK, 912 p.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E.A. (2001). Principles of Plant Nutrition, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 849 p.
- MSTAT-C (1986): A Microcomputer Program for The Design Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Nemeth, E.; Szabo, K.; Rajhart, P. and Popp, T. (2012). The effect of potassium supply on the production and drug quality of mint species. Journal of Medicinal and Spice Plants, 17(4):158-164.
- Sheykholeslami, Z.; Almdari, M.Q.; Qanbari, S. and Akbarzadeh, M. (2015). Effect of organic and chemical fertilizers on yield and yield components of peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.). American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 6(4):251-257.
- Valmorbida, J. and Boaro, C.S.F. (2007). Growth and development of *Mentha piperita* L. in nutrient solution as affected by rates of potassium. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 50:379-384.
- Zheljzakov, V. and Margina, A. (1996). Effect of increasing doses of fertilizer application on quantitative and qualitative characters of mint. Proc. International Symposium on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Acta Hort., 426:579-592.

### تأثير التسميد البوتاسي على نوعين من النعناع

محمود عبدالهادي حسن عبده ، عماد الدين توفيق أحمد ، محمود صبحي سلام علي  
قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا، مصر

أجريت تجربة حقلية بمزرعة شركة القاهرة للبذور والنباتات الطبية والعطرية بواحة الفرازة (الوادي الجديد) خلال موسمين زراعيين (٢٠٢٢ و ٢٠٢٣) لدراسة تأثير مستويات مختلفة من التسميد البوتاسي (الكنترول، ٤٥، ٩٠ و ١٣٥ وحدة بوتاسيوم) على صفات النمو الخضري (متوسط ارتفاع النبات، مساحة الورقة، عدد النباتات لكل متر مربع ووزن العشب الطازج والجاف/م<sup>٢</sup>/حشة وكذلك وزن العشب الطازج والجاف للفدان/موسم) لنوعي النعناع تحت الدراسة (النعناع البلدي والنعناع الفلفلي). أظهرت البيانات أن جميع الصفات السابقة زادت بشكل ملحوظ مع النعناع البلدي عن النعناع الفلفلي في جميع

الحالات. كما زادت هذه الصفات المذكورة أعلاه مع زيادة مستوى التسميد بالبوتاسيوم، حيث أنتج ٢٧٠ كجم سلفات بوتاسيوم أعلى القيم في جميع الحالات. كان التفاعل معنويا لجميع المعايير المدروسة في جميع الحالات. ومن المثير للاهتمام أن المعاملة المركبة بين المستوى العالي من البوتاسيوم مع النعناع البلدي كانت الأفضل في هذا الصدد.